

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003      LB 249

SENATOR JANSSEN: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: ...of those transfers within districts and from a private and public. We had no hearing on the issue. The issue of transfer has never been brought up until I believe Senator Bromm, actually, when he filed his amendment. That was the first awareness. Again, we've met the one opposition to the bill, which I think is very interesting, and that particular person has now written a letter of support. Again, I think it's important that we do include this, that we do put it in statute to distinguish...help to distinguish the extracurricular activities being a privilege...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Time.

SENATOR STUHR: ...and not an entitlement or a right, and that is what we're trying to do by setting...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Time, Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: ...the date and the ineligibility. Thank you.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I'm going to be very brief. I just rise to say that I'm glad that Senator Bromm is approaching some kind of an amendment on the issue of public-private schools, and I would like to have us explore, I'd like to have him explore the idea of public to public when it's a transfer student kind of case. However, I also want to say that I am supporting this bill, and I will be supporting it whether we adopt anything like that or not. I'm not clear in my own mind whether the NSAA will have to do something about the inequity if we don't put it in statute, but I think that might be a distinct possibility. So I hope we don't get too bogged down with this bill. I think it's something that's very needed and I would encourage us to think about the other amendments that could maybe even make this bill better. Thank you.